



Quiz

Institutional Responses to Child Sexual Abuse:

Part II

1. What was ultimately held in the UK Supreme Court decision in relation to the availability of a close connection argument in foster care arrangements?
 - a. That the argument is available, because children are utterly in the power of the county council
 - b. That for children who are in care, any responsibility remains so insignificantly with the Council that it cannot be said that the Council continued to exercise powers of supervision over the foster care parents
 - c. That in looking at who was best able to meet the loss that was suffered by the child, the Council would not wholly appropriate
 - d. That the relationship between Council and foster parents was so distant that it would be inappropriate to make the Council vicariously liable for any abuse which took place
2. What has not formed part of Victoria's statutory response to the question of vicarious liability?
 - a. Introduction of the *Wrongs Amendment (Organisational Child Abuse) Act 2017* (Vic)
 - b. Introduction of an extremely efficient system for proposed defendants to be asked to provide a nominated defendant, and for

the courts to be able to subsequently make an order if no defendant is appointed

- c. A proactive approach – its response has been very poor, and has lagged in comparison to the other states and territories that have taken legislative action
 - d. Introduction of the *Legal Identity of Defendants (Organisational Child Abuse) Bill 2018*
3. What does the National Redress Scheme currently propose?
- a. Provision of up to around \$1 million for a claim in compensation for abuse
 - b. Provision of up to around \$500,000 for a claim in compensation for abuse
 - c. Provision of up to around \$200,000 for a claim in compensation for abuse
 - d. Provision of up to around \$150,000 for a claim in compensation for abuse
4. How much has the Commonwealth Government set aside for the scheme, and which states and/or territories are on board?
- a. \$3,400,000; NSW, QLD, WA
 - b. \$33,400,000; only NSW
 - c. \$33,400,000; NSW, Victoria, and the ACT
 - d. \$3,400,000; only Victoria
5. Responses to the scheme by institutions really depend on the goodwill of these institutions – why can we simply not rely on their goodwill?
- a. Because it is *their* money at stake
 - b. Most of them have a long history of protecting their assets rather than protecting the children under their care
 - c. They are no longer under the critical eye of the Royal Commission
 - d. All of the above

Answers:

1. a 2. b 3. d 4. c 5. d