

## Quiz

## Causation

- What must be proved by a plaintiff in order to establish negligence under the Civil Liability Act 2002 (NSW)?
  - a. Defendant owed a duty of care
  - b. Defendant breached the duty of care
  - c. Defendant caused the damage alleged
  - d. All of the above
- 2. Which element of negligence did the NSW Court of Appeal find Strong could not prove?
  - a. Duty of care
  - b. Breach
  - c. Causation
  - d. None of the above
- 3. What did the High Court hold in Strong v Woolworths (2012) 246 CLR 182?
  - a. The High Court held that a causal link was established between the lack of adequate cleaning systems and the injury suffered by the appellant
  - b. The High Court held that causation was not able to be established as the deposit of the chip which caused the accident was a hazard which was more likely to occur at lunch time.
  - c. The High Court held in favour of the respondent
  - d. Both a. and c.

- 4. What section of the *Evidence Act 1995* (NSW) describes the standard of proof in civil proceedings?
  - a. Section 40
  - b. Section 120
  - c. Section 135
  - d. Section 140
- 5. Why was the case *Strong v Woolworths* (2012) 246 CLR 182 particularly significant?
  - a. The case illustrated the importance of probabilistic reasoning
  - b. The case provided further clarification about the determination of causation under s 5D of the *Civil Liability Act 2002* (NSW)
  - c. a. and b.
  - d. The case demonstrated that a chip is more likely to fall at lunch time rather than earlier in the day

Answers:

1. d 2. c 3. a 4. d 5. c