

# benchtv

a benchmark production

## Quiz

### Court Orders with an International Dimension

1. Which form of order is sought to prevent a party from commencing proceedings in another jurisdiction?
  - a. Freezing order
  - b. Anti-suit injunction
  - c. Worldwide injunction
  - d. Mareva injunction
  
2. What is necessary for an applicant to demonstrate in order to be granted a freezing order in Australia in anticipation of a foreign judgment, following *PT Bayan Resources TBK v BCBC Singapore PTE Ltd* [2015] HCA 36?
  - a. The likelihood of a judgment in their favour
  - b. That the prospective judgment would be registrable under the *Foreign Judgments Act 1991* (Cth)
  - c. That they have satisfied any further requirements under the State's procedural rules
  - d. All of the above
  
3. Following *Turner v Grovit* (Case C-159/02 [2004] ECR I-3565), in which of these sets of jurisdictions would an anti-suit injunction not be granted if it met the traditional requirements?
  - a. France and Italy
  - b. America and Australia
  - c. America and Japan
  - d. Australia and Singapore

4. In *Daimler AG v Bauman*, a sufficient connection between a foreign defendant and a forum will arise where:
  - a. It would be within the bounds of fair play to have the litigation in that jurisdiction
  - b. It is an exceptional case, and the contact between the defendant and the jurisdiction is so substantial as to render it at home in that State
  - c. The defendant corporation makes substantial profits in the jurisdiction
  - d. The defendant has objectively contemplated being the subject of a suit in the jurisdiction
  
5. What was Equustek seeking for Google to do in *Equustek v Google* in the British Columbian Court of Appeal?
  - a. Equustek wanted Google to be charged with contempt of court when they decided to ignore the Canadian court's orders since they are unenforceable in other jurisdictions
  - b. Equustek simply wanted to pursue damages against their competitor who was the subject of the IP infringement claim
  - c. Equustek was trying to have their competitor's website removed from the Canadian Google
  - d. Equustek was trying to ensure that their competitor's website was de-indexed from all Google servers worldwide

Answers:

1. b 2. d 3. a 4. b 5. d